

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/606,256	LIU ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Sanza L. McClendon	1711	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** non-final

(1) Sanza L. McClendon.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) John Childs.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 1 May 2006

**Time:** 8 am

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*10-12 and 16-14*

**Claims discussed:**

*none*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*all IDS documents*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. Childs asked for a re-start of the time period b/c office actions were not complete since the IDS's from 11/2005 were not considered. The examiner agreed and will send out new office action and signed IDS.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)